Rubric for Assessment Course: Human Geography

The assessment is based on:

- Weekly Assignment (30%)
 Mid-term examination (35%)
- 3. Final examination (35%)

| Rubric for Weekly Assignment (Weight 30%) | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Criterion | Exceeds Expectations | (Human Geography) Meets Expectations | Below Expectations | Not Acceptable | | |
| Demonstrated Knowledge | Shows complete understanding of the exercise Demonstrates full knowledge of the subject with explanations and elaboration Points: 50 | Shows substantial understanding of the exercise At ease with subject content Able to elaborate and explain to some degree Points: 42.5 | Response shows some understanding of the exercise Uncomfortable with content Only basic concepts are demonstrated and interpreted Points: 35 | Response shows a lack of understanding for the exercise No grasp of information No clear knowledge of subject matter Points: 15 | | |
| Requirements | Goes beyond the requirements of the exercise Points: 30 | Meets the requirements of the exercise Points: 22.5 | Does not meet the requirements of the exercise Points: 20 | Fails to complete the exercise Points: 7.5 | | |
| Report Format | Format is consistent throughout, including heading styles and captions Figures and tables are presented logically and reinforce the text | Format is generally consistent, including heading styles and captions Figures and tables are neatly done and provide intended information | Mostly consistent format Figures and tables are legible, but not convincing | Work is illegible, format changes throughout, e.g., font type, size, etc. Figures and tables are sloppy and fail to provide intended information | | |
| | Points: 10 | Points: 7 | Points: 5 | Points: 2.5 | | |
| Sentences and Grammar | Negligible grammatical errors (EYD) | Minor grammatical errors (EYD) | Several grammatical errors | Numerous grammatical errors | | |
| References | Points: 5 Reference section complete and comprehensive Consistent and logical referencing system Points: 5 | Points: 4 Minor inadequacies in references Consistent referencing system Points: 4 | Points: 2.5 Inadequate list of references or references in text Inconsistent or illogical referencing system Points: 2.5 | Points: 2.5 No referencing system used Points: 2.5 | | |
| Total | 100 | 80 | 65 | 30 | | |

| Rubric for Midterm Examination (weight 35%) (Human Geography) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Criterion | Exceeds Expectations | Meets Expectations | Below Expectations | Not Acceptable | | |
| Demonstrated Knowledge | Shows complete understanding of the question Demonstrates full knowledge of the subject with explanations and elaboration | Shows substantial understanding of the question At ease with subject content Able to elaborate and explain to some degree | Response shows some understanding of the question Uncomfortable with content Only basic concepts are demonstrated and interpreted | Response shows a lack of understanding for the question No grasp of information No clear knowledge of subject matter | | |
| (100 % of score for each question) | Score: 79-100 | Score: 80% | Score: 65% | Points: 50% | | |

| Rubric for Final Examination (weight 35%) | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| (Human Geography) | | | | | | |
| Criterion | Exceeds Expectations | Meets Expectations | Below Expectations | Not Acceptable | | |
| Demonstrated Knowledge | Shows complete understanding of the question Demonstrates full knowledge of the subject with explanations and elaboration | Shows substantial understanding of the question At ease with subject content Able to elaborate and explain to some degree | Response shows some understanding of the question Uncomfortable with content Only basic concepts are demonstrated and interpreted | Response shows a lack of understanding for the question No grasp of information No clear knowledge of subject matter | | |
| (100 % of score for each question) | Score: 79-100 | Score: 80% | Score: 65% | Points: 50% | | |