

Rubric for Assessment
Course: Environmental Geomorphology

The assessment is based on:

1. Quiz (15%)
2. Individual/Group assignment (30%)
3. Mid-term examination (30%)
4. Final examination (30%)

<i>Rubric for Quiz (weight 10%)</i> <i>(Environmental Geomorphology)</i>				
<i>Criterion</i>	<i>Exceeds Expectations</i>	<i>Meets Expectations</i>	<i>Below Expectations</i>	<i>Not Acceptable</i>
Demonstrated Knowledge (100 % of score for each question)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Shows complete understanding of the question • Demonstrates full knowledge of the subject with explanations and elaboration <p>Score: 79-100</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Shows substantial understanding of the question • At ease with subject content • Able to elaborate and explain to some degree <p>Score: 65-78%</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Response shows some understanding of the question • Uncomfortable with content • Only basic concepts are demonstrated and interpreted <p>Score: 51-65</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Response shows a lack of understanding for the question • No grasp of information • No clear knowledge of subject matter <p>Points: <50</p>

<i>Rubric for Individual/Group Assessment (Weight 30%)</i> <i>(Environmental Geomorphology)</i>				
<i>Criterion</i>	<i>Exceeds Expectations</i>	<i>Meets Expectations</i>	<i>Below Expectations</i>	<i>Not Acceptable</i>
Demonstrated Knowledge	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Shows complete understanding of the exercise • Demonstrates full knowledge of the subject with explanations and elaboration <p>Points: 50</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Shows substantial understanding of the exercise • At ease with subject content • Able to elaborate and explain to some degree <p>Points: 42.5</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Response shows some understanding of the exercise • Uncomfortable with content • Only basic concepts are demonstrated and interpreted <p>Points: 35</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Response shows a lack of understanding for the exercise • No grasp of information • No clear knowledge of subject matter <p>Points: 15</p>
Requirements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Goes beyond the requirements of the exercise <p>Points: 30</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets the requirements of the exercise <p>Points: 22.5</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does not meet the requirements of the exercise <p>Points: 20</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fails to complete the exercise <p>Points: 7.5</p>

Rubric for Individual/Group Assessment (Weight 30%) <i>(Environmental Geomorphology)</i>				
Criterion	Exceeds Expectations	Meets Expectations	Below Expectations	Not Acceptable
Report Format	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Format is consistent throughout, including heading styles and captions Figures and tables are presented logically and reinforce the text Points: 10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Format is generally consistent, including heading styles and captions Figures and tables are neatly done and provide intended information Points: 7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mostly consistent format Figures and tables are legible, but not convincing Points: 5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Work is illegible, format changes throughout, e.g., font type, size, etc. Figures and tables are sloppy and fail to provide intended information Points: 2.5
Sentences and Grammar	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Negligible grammatical errors (EYD) Points: 5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minor grammatical errors (EYD) Points: 4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Several grammatical errors Points: 2.5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Numerous grammatical errors Points: 2.5
References	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reference section complete and comprehensive Consistent and logical referencing system Points: 5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minor inadequacies in references Consistent referencing system Points: 4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inadequate list of references or references in text Inconsistent or illogical referencing system Points: 2.5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No referencing system used Points: 2.5
Total	100	80	65	30

Rubric for Midterm Examination (Weight 30%) <i>(Environmental Geomorphology)</i>				
Criterion	Exceeds Expectations	Meets Expectations	Below Expectations	Not Acceptable
Demonstrated Knowledge (100 % of score for each question)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Shows complete understanding of the question Demonstrates full knowledge of the subject with explanations and elaboration Score: 100%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Shows substantial understanding of the question At ease with subject content Able to elaborate and explain to some degree Score: 80%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Response shows some understanding of the question Uncomfortable with content Only basic concepts are demonstrated and interpreted Score: 65%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Response shows a lack of understanding for the question No grasp of information No clear knowledge of subject matter Points: 50%

Rubric for Final Examination (Weight 30%)
(Environmental Geomorphology)

Criterion	<i>Exceeds Expectations</i>	<i>Meets Expectations</i>	<i>Below Expectations</i>	<i>Not Acceptable</i>
Demonstrated Knowledge (100 % of score for each question)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Shows complete understanding of the question Demonstrates full knowledge of the subject with explanations and elaboration Score: 100%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Shows substantial understanding of the question At ease with subject content Able to elaborate and explain to some degree Score: 80%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Response shows some understanding of the question Uncomfortable with content Only basic concepts are demonstrated and interpreted Score: 65%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Response shows a lack of understanding for the question No grasp of information No clear knowledge of subject matter Points: 50%